



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/656,325	09/06/2000	Steven D. Nelson	14073US01	9079
23446	7590 03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
MCANDRE	WS HELD & MALLO	CHAMBERS, TROY		
500 WEST M SUITE 3400	ADISON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, I	L 60661		3641	

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/656,325	NELSON ET AL.	
navious name	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Troy Chambers	3641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the sappliced in the samendment whith a timely filed amendment whith the same in the same	cation. A proper re- ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mosarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): <u>none</u> .		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • • —	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to:	<i>,</i> 7		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		1.	_
	ARINUAR	Ed. Ganonia	
		PATENT EXAMINED	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: The Applicant's Supplemental Amendment was given consideration in the Advisory Action mailed 02/03/2004. While the Examiner agrees that there was a "mix-up" concerning the applicant's Supplemental Response, it should be noted that the newly submitted arguments presented in that Supplemental Amendment were addressed by the Examiner and any amendments were entered. Hence, the current response is deemed After Final and the amendments will not be entered because a new search and/or consideration would be required.